



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/727,291	11/29/2000	John C. Goodwin III	9119.00	6324

26884 7590 09/03/2004

PAUL W. MARTIN
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4
1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001

EXAMINER

JACOBS, LASHONDA T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/727,291

Applicant(s)

GOODWIN ET AL.

Examiner

LaShonda T Jacobs

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Amendment/Request for Reconsideration filed on June 23, 2004. Claims 1-4 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McMillan in view Logan et al (hereinafter, "Logan", 5,761,683).

As per claim 1, McMillan discloses a method of limiting access to a web page by an operator of a network kiosk comprising the steps of:

(a) receiving a request to display a web page by the kiosk (abstract, col. 3, lines 11-21, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);

(b) determining an address of the web page (col. 3, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);

However, McMillan does not explicitly disclose:

(c) determining a current time;

(d) determining an unacceptable period of access to the web page; and

(e) preventing access to the web page if current time falls within the unacceptable period.

Logan discloses techniques for changing the behavior of a link in a hypertext document including:

Art Unit: 2157

- (c) determining a current time (col. 17, lines 40-48);
- (d) determining an unacceptable period of access to the web page (col. 17, lines 40-56 and col. 18, lines 1-7); and
- (e) preventing access to the web page if current time falls within the unacceptable period (col. 17, lines 40-56 and col. 18, lines 1-7).

Given the teaching of Logan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify McMillan by implementing a timer driver routine within the web information kiosk in order to prevent access to a web page if current time falls within an unacceptable period allowing a user to access information within the time limit specified by the operator/owner in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 2, McMillan discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However, McMillan does not explicitly disclose wherein step (c) comprises the sub-step of:

- (c-1) determining a current data and time of day .

Logan discloses techniques for changing the behavior of a link in a hypertext document including:

- (c-1) determining a current data and time of day (col. 17, lines 40-48).

Given the teaching of Logan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify McMillan by implementing a timer driver routine within the web information kiosk in order to prevent access to a web page if current time falls within an unacceptable period allowing a user to access information within the time limit specified by the operator/owner in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 3, McMillan discloses a method of protecting personal information in a web page displayed by a networked kiosk comprising the steps of:

receiving a request to display a web page by the kiosk (abstract, col. 3, lines 11-21, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);

- determining an address of the web page (col. 3, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);
- comparing the address to a list of addresses (col. 3, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);

However, McMillan does not explicitly disclose if the address is in the list of addresses:

- determining a current time of day and current date;
- determining an unacceptable period of access to the web page; and
- preventing access to the web page if current time of day and current date fall within the unacceptable period.

Logan discloses techniques for changing the behavior of a link in a hypertext document including:

- determining a current time of day and current date (col. 17, lines 40-48);
- determining an unacceptable period of access to the web page(col. 17, lines 40-56 and col. 18, lines 1-7); and
- preventing access to the web page if current time of day and current date fall within the unacceptable period (col. 17, lines 40-56 and col. 18, lines 1-7).

Given the teaching of Logan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify McMillan by implementing a timer driver routine within the web information kiosk in order to prevent access to a web page if current time falls within an unacceptable period

Art Unit: 2157

allowing a user to access information within the time limit specified by the operator/owner in a timely and efficient manner.

As per claim 4, McMillan discloses a network kiosk comprising:

- a display for displaying web pages (col. 3, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);;
- an input device for selecting the web pages (col. 3, lines 65-67 and col. 4, lines 1-30);;
- and
- a computer which receives a request to display a first web page from the input device, determines an address of the first web page (col. 3, lines 65-67, col. 4, lines 1-30 and lines 41-51); determines a current time, determines an unacceptable period of access to the first web page, and prevents access to the first web page if current time falls within the unacceptable period.

However, McMillan does not explicitly disclose a computer which:

- determines a current time, determines an unacceptable period of access to the first web page, and prevents access to the first web page if current time falls within the unacceptable period.

Logan discloses techniques for changing the behavior of a link in a hypertext document including:

- determines a current time, determines an unacceptable period of access to the first web page, and prevents access to the first web page if current time falls within the unacceptable period (col. 17, lines 40-56 and col. 18, lines 1-7).

Given the teaching of Logan, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify McMillan by implementing a timer driver routine within the web information kiosk

Art Unit: 2157

in order to prevent access to a web page if current time falls within an unacceptable period allowing a user to access information within the time limit specified by the operator/owner in a timely and efficient manner.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LaShonda T. Jacobs whose telephone number is 703-305-7494. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 703-308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

LaShonda T. Jacobs
Examiner
Art Unit 2157

ltj
August 24, 2004


SALEH NAJJAR
PRIMARY EXAMINER